

GEOMETRY OF $B \times B$ -ORBIT CLOSURES IN EQUIVARIANT EMBEDDINGS

XUHUA HE AND JESPER FUNCH THOMSEN

ABSTRACT. Let X denote an equivariant embedding of a connected reductive group G over an algebraically closed field k . Let B denote a Borel subgroup of G and let Z denote a $B \times B$ -orbit closure in X . When the characteristic of k is positive and X is projective we prove that Z is globally F -regular. As a consequence, Z is normal and Cohen-Macaulay for arbitrary X and arbitrary characteristics. Moreover, in characteristic zero it follows that Z has rational singularities. This extends earlier results by the second author and M. Brion.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G denote a connected and reductive linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k . Let B denote a Borel subgroup of G . An (equivariant) embedding X of G is a normal $G \times G$ -variety which contains an open subset which is $G \times G$ -equivariantly isomorphic to G . Here we think of G as a $G \times G$ -variety through left and right translation. In this paper we study the geometry of $B \times B$ -orbit closures in X . Examples of such varieties include all toric varieties, all (generalized) Schubert varieties and all large Schubert varieties (see [B-P]).

The geometry of $B \times B$ -orbit closures within equivariant embeddings has been the subject of several earlier papers. In [B] it was realized that such orbit closures were mostly singular with singular locus of codimension 2. In the special case of the *wonderful compactification* of a semisimple group G of adjoint type, this was later strengthened in [B-P], where it was proved that closures of orbits of the form BgB , for $g \in G$, are normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Closures of this form are called *large Schubert varieties*. Using the concept of global F -regularity the latter result was generalized to arbitrary X and G in [B-T]. For arbitrary $B \times B$ -orbit closures it seems that normality and Cohen-Macaulayness is only known for the wonderful compactifications [B2, Rem.1]. In the present paper we show that all $B \times B$ -orbit closures for arbitrary X and G will be normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover, when the field k has characteristic 0 we will show that such orbit closures have rational singularities. As in [B-T] the main technical tool will be that of global F -regularity.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14M17, 14L30, 14B05.

The first author is supported by NSF grant DMS-0111298.

Global F -regularity was introduced by K. Smith in [S2]. By definition a projective variety Z over a field of positive characteristic is globally F -regular if every ideal of some homogeneous coordinate ring of Z is tightly closed. Any globally F -regular variety will be normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover, every homogeneous coordinate ring of Z will share the same properties. Another consequence is that the higher cohomology groups of nef line bundles on Z will be zero. Known classes of globally F -regular varieties include projective toric varieties [S2], (generalized) Schubert varieties [L-P-T] and projective large Schubert varieties [B-T]. In this paper we prove that every $B \times B$ -orbit closure in a projective embedding X of a reductive group G is globally F -regular. Notice that varieties of this form include the mentioned classes above.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce notation. In Section 3 we give a short introduction to Frobenius splitting, canonical Frobenius splitting and global F -regularity. In section 4 we present the main technical result (Proposition 4.1) which relates the mentioned concepts from Section 3. In section 5 we describe the $G \times G$ -orbit closures in a toroidal embedding. Section 6 describes the decomposition of the closure of a $B \times B$ -orbit into the union of some $B \times B$ -orbits for toroidal embeddings. This is a generalization of Springer's result in [Sp] on the wonderful compactification. As a by-product of this description we obtain, that any Frobenius splitting of a toroidal embedding X which compatibly Frobenius splits the boundary components and the large Schubert varieties of codimension 1, will automatically compatibly Frobenius split all $B \times B$ -orbit closures in X . This is used in Section 7 to conclude that all $B \times B$ -orbit closures in a toroidal embedding are simultaneous canonical Frobenius split. In section 8 we prove that any $B \times B$ -orbit closure in a projective embedding (over a field of positive characteristic) is globally F -regular. The proof of this proceeds by reducing to the case when X is toroidal and then using the results of the previous sections. Finally in Section 9 we treat the characteristic 0 case by descending the results from Section 8 to positive characteristic.

2. NOTATION

Throughout this paper G will denote a connected reductive linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field k . The associated semisimple and connected group of adjoint type will be denoted by G_{ad} . The associated canonical morphism is denoted by $\pi_{\text{ad}} : G \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}$. We will fix a maximal torus T and a Borel subgroup $B \supset T$ of G .

The set of roots determined by T will be denoted by R and we define the subset of positive roots R^+ of R to be the set of roots $\alpha \in R$ such that the α -weight space of the Lie algebra of B is nonzero. The set $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ of simple positive roots will be indexed by

$I = \{1, \dots, l\}$. For each subset $J \subset I$ we let $P_J \supset B$ denote the corresponding parabolic subgroup of G . The associated Levi subgroup containing T will be denoted by L_J while we use the notation U_J to denote the unipotent radical of P_J . The notation U_J^- and L_J^- will be used for the equivalent subgroups in the parabolic subgroup P_J^- opposite to P_J . When J is empty we simply denote P_J^- by B^- and U_J by U . The semisimple group of adjoint type associated with L_J is denoted by G_J .

To each root $\alpha \in R$ there is an associated reflection s_α in the Weyl group $W = N_G(T)/T$. The reflection associated with the simple root α_i is called simple and will be simply written as s_i . We may then write each element w in W as a product of simple reflection and the minimal number of factors in such a product is the length of w and will be denoted by $l(w)$. The unique element of maximal length will be denoted by w_0 . For $J \subset I$, we denote by W_J the subgroup of the Weyl group W generated by the simple reflections s_i for, $i \in J$, and by W^J the set of minimal length coset representatives of W/W_J . The element in W_J of longest length is denoted by w_0^J . For an element $w \in W$ we let $\tilde{w}$ denote a representative for w in the normalizer of T . Moreover, we define $R(w) = \{\alpha \in R^+ : w\alpha \in R^+\}$, and denote by U_w the subgroup of B generated by the root subgroups U_α for $\alpha \in R(w)$. Then we let B_w denote the subgroup TU_w of B .

By a variety over k we mean a reduced and separated scheme of finite type over k . In particular, a variety need not be irreducible.

3. GENERALITIES ON FROBENIUS SPLITTING

Let X be a scheme of finite type over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic $p > 0$. The *absolute Frobenius morphism* $F : X \rightarrow X$ on X is the morphism of schemes which on the level of points is the identity map and where the associated map of sheaves

$$F^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*\mathcal{O}_X,$$

is the p -th power map. A *Frobenius splitting* of X is an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear morphism

$$s : F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X,$$

such that the composition $s \circ F^\sharp$ is the identity map.

3.1. Compatibly split subschemes. Let Y denote a closed subscheme of X with sheaf of ideals $\mathcal{I}_Y$. A Frobenius splitting s of X is said to *compatibly Frobenius split* Y if $s(\mathcal{I}_Y) \subset \mathcal{I}_Y$. In this case there exists an induced Frobenius splitting of Y . When Y is compatibly Frobenius split by s then any irreducible component of Y will also be compatibly Frobenius split by s . Moreover, if Y' is another (by s) compatibly Frobenius split closed subscheme then the scheme theoretic intersection $Y \cap Y'$ will also be compatibly Frobenius split by s .

3.2. Push-forward. Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ denote a morphism of schemes of finite type over k . Assume that X admits a Frobenius splitting s which compatibly splits a closed subscheme Y . If the induced map $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$, is an isomorphism, then s induces by push-forward a Frobenius splitting of X' which compatibly Frobenius splits the scheme theoretic image of Y .

3.3. Stable Frobenius splitting along divisors. Let D denote an effective Cartier divisor on X and let s_D denote the canonical section of the associated line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Then X is said to admit a stable Frobenius splitting along D if there exists a positive integer e and an $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear morphism

$$s : F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X,$$

such that $s(s_D) = 1$. Notice that in this case the composition of s with the canonical map $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_X(D)$, defined by s_D , is a Frobenius splitting of X . If D' is another effective divisor then it is known (see e.g. [B-T, Lemma 3.1]) that X is stably Frobenius split along the sum $D + D'$ if and only if X is stably Frobenius split along both D and D' .

When X admits a stable Frobenius splitting s along D and Y is a closed subscheme of X , then we say that s compatibly Frobenius splits Y if $s(F_*^e(\mathcal{I}_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(D))) \subset \mathcal{I}_Y$ and, moreover, none of the components of Y are contained in the support of D .

3.4. Canonical Frobenius splitting. Let now G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group. Fix a Borel subgroup B and a maximal torus $T \subset B$ of G . When X is a B -variety there is an induced action of B on the set of $\mathcal{O}_X$ -linear maps $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. More precisely, when $b \in B$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(V)$, for V open in X , then we define $b \cdot f$ to be the function on bV defined by $(b \cdot f)(v) = f(b^{-1}v)$. Then for $s : F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ we define $(b \star s) : F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ by

$$(b \star s)(f) = b \cdot s(b^{-1} \cdot f).$$

We regard $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ as a k -vector space by letting $z \in k$ act on $s : F_*\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ as

$$(z.s)(f) = z^{1/p} s(f).$$

We may then define the following important concept : a Frobenius splitting s of X is said to be (B, T) -canonical if :

- $t \star s = s, \forall t \in T$.
- Let $\alpha \in \Delta$ and let $x_\alpha : k \rightarrow G$ be the associated homomorphism of algebraic groups satisfying $tx_\alpha(z)t^{-1} = x_\alpha(\alpha(t)z)$, $t \in T$. Then

$$x_\alpha(z) \star s = \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} z^i \cdot s_{i,\alpha}, \text{ for all } z \in k,$$

for certain fixed $s_{i,\alpha} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F_*\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$.

When X is a B -variety we define the variety $G \times_B X$ to be the quotient of $G \times X$ by the B -action defined by $b.(g, x) = (gb^{-1}, bx)$ for $b \in B, g \in G$ and $x \in X$. With this notation we have the following crucial result connected with canonical Frobenius splittings (see e.g. [B-K, 4.1.E(4)])

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a variety admitting a (B, T) -canonical Frobenius splitting s . Then the variety $G \times_B X$ admits a (B, T) -canonical Frobenius splitting such that $\overline{BwB} \times_B X$ is compatibly Frobenius split for all $w \in W$ and such that $G \times_B Y$ is compatibly Frobenius split for all B -stable subvarieties of X which are compatibly Frobenius split by s .*

3.5. Strong F -regularity. A general reference for this subsection is [H-H]. Let K be a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$ and let R denote a commutative K -algebra essentially of finite type, i.e. equal to some localization of a finitely generated K -algebra. We say that R is *strongly F -regular* if for each $s \in R$, not contained in a minimal prime of R , there exists a positive integer e such that the R -linear map $F_s^e : R \rightarrow F_*^e R, r \mapsto r^{p^e} s$, is split. When R is strongly F -regular then R is normal and Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover, all ideals in R will be tightly closed and thus R will be F -rational, i.e. every parameter ideal is tightly closed.

The ring R is strongly F -regular if and only if all of its localized rings are strongly F -regular. Thus, we define a scheme X of finite type over K to be strongly F -regular if all of its local rings $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, for $x \in X$, are strongly F -regular. Then the affine scheme $\text{Spec}(R)$ (when R is a finitely generated K -algebra) is strongly F -regular precisely when R is strongly F -regular.

3.6. Global F -regularity. Consider an irreducible projective variety X over k . For an ample line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on X we define the associated section ring to be

$$R = R(X, \mathcal{L}) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^n).$$

We then say that X is *globally F -regular* if the ring $R(X, \mathcal{L})$ is strongly F -regular for some (or equivalently, any) ample invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on X . Global F -regularity was introduced by K. Smith in [S2]. When X is globally F -regular then X is also strongly F -regular. In particular, globally F -regular varieties are normal, Cohen-Macaulay and locally F -rational.

The following important result by Smith [S2, Theorem 3.10] connects global F -regularity, Frobenius splitting and strong F -regularity.

Theorem 3.2. *If X is an irreducible projective variety over k then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is globally F -regular.
- (2) X is stably Frobenius split along an ample effective Cartier divisor D and the (affine) complement $X \setminus D$ is strongly F -regular.
- (3) X is stably Frobenius split along every effective Cartier divisor.

The connection between (1) and (3) in this theorem leads to the following result which can be found in [L-P-T].

Corollary 3.3. *Let $f : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of projective varieties. If the connected map $f^\sharp : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is an isomorphism and $\tilde{X}$ is globally F -regular then X is also globally F -regular.*

4. SOME CRITERIA FOR GLOBALLY F -REGULARITY

Throughout this section we assume that k has positive characteristic. The following result connects canonical Frobenius splitting and global F -regularity.

Proposition 4.1. *Let Y be an irreducible projective B -variety. Let $y \in Y$ and $w \in W$. Define $Y' = Y - B \cdot y$ and assume that*

- (1) $B_w \cdot y = B \cdot y$ and $B \cdot y$ is dense in Y .
- (2) Y admits a (B, T) -canonical Frobenius splitting which compatibly splits the subvariety Y' .
- (3) Y is strongly F -regular.

Write $w = s_{i_1}s_{i_2}\cdots s_{i_n}$ as a reduced product of simple reflections and define

$$Z = P_1 \times_B P_2 \times_B \cdots \times_B P_n \times_B Y,$$

where $P_j = B \cup B\dot{s}_{i_j}B$ is a minimal parabolic subgroup. Then Z is globally F -regular.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote an ample line bundle on Z . Since Y is strongly F -regular, Y is normal. Moreover the Picard group of B is trivial. Thus we may consider $\mathcal{L}$ as a B -linearized line bundle. In particular, B acts linearly on the finite dimensional vector space $H^0(Z, \mathcal{L})$ of global sections of $\mathcal{L}$ and we may thus find a nonzero global section s which is B -invariant up to scalars.

Let $z = [\dot{s}_{i_1}, \dots, \dot{s}_{i_n}, y] \in Z$. Then by assumption (1) the orbit $B \cdot z$ is dense in Z with complement equal to the union of the subsets

$$Z_i = P_1 \times_B \cdots \times_B B \times_B \cdots \times_B P_n \times_B Y, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$Z'_j = P_1 \times_B P_2 \times_B \cdots \times_B P_n \times_B Y'_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where Z_i is defined by substituting B with P_i in the definition of Z and Y'_j , $j = 1, \dots, m$, denotes the components of Y' . As the support $\text{supp}(s)$ of s is B -stable and of codimension 1 in Z it follows that $\text{supp}(s)$ is contained in $Z - B \cdot z$, i.e. in the union of Z_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ and

$Z'_j, j = 1, \dots, m$. In particular, we may choose nonnegative integers n_i and m_j such that the zero divisor of s in Z equals

$$Z(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n n_i Z_i + \sum_{j=1}^m m_j Z'_j.$$

By assumption (2) and Proposition 3.1 the variety Z admits a Frobenius splitting which compatibly Frobenius splits $Z'_j, j = 1, \dots, m$ and $Z_i, i = 1, \dots, n$. Let Y^0 denote the (B -invariant) nonsingular locus in Y . As Y is normal the complement $Y - Y^0$ is of codimension ≥ 2 . Now define

$$Z^0 = P_1 \times_B P_2 \times_B \cdots \times_B P_n \times_B Y^0.$$

Then Z^0 is a smooth variety which admits a Frobenius splitting compatibly splitting the divisors $Z_i \cap Z^0, i = 1, \dots, n$ and the subvarieties $Z'_j \cap Z^0, j = 1, \dots, m$. As Z^0 is smooth this implies (see e.g. [L-P-T, Lemma 1.1]) that Z^0 admits a stable Frobenius splitting along the effective Cartier divisor :

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i \cap Z^0) + \sum_{j=1}^m \delta_j (Z'_j \cap Z^0),$$

where $\delta_j = 0$ if Z'_j is not a divisor and else $\delta_j = 1$. As a consequence, Z^0 admits a stable Frobenius splitting along

$$\sum_{i=1}^n n_i (Z_i \cap Z^0) + \sum_{j=1}^m m_j (Z'_j \cap Z^0).$$

In other words, Z^0 is stably Frobenius split along the Cartier divisor defined by the restriction of s to Z^0 . Thus the morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{Z^0} \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{O}_{Z^0}(Z(s) \cap Z^0),$$

defined by the restriction of s to Z^0 splits for some sufficiently large integer e .

As Y is normal so is Z . Moreover, $Z - Z^0$ has codimension ≥ 2 and thus $i_* i^* \mathcal{M}$ for any line bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on Z where i denotes the inclusion map of Z^0 in Z . Applying the functor i_* to the stable splitting above we find that Z admits a stable Frobenius splitting along the effective Cartier divisor defined by s . Moreover, as Y is strongly F -regular also Z and hence $Z - \text{supp}(s)$ is strongly F -regular (see e.g. [L-S, Lemma 4.1]). This proves that Z is globally F -regular and ends the proof. $\square$

For convenience of the reader we include the following result (see [R, Lemma 2.11]) which we will use in the proof of the next proposition.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ denote a projective morphism of irreducible varieties and let X' denote a closed irreducible subvariety of X . Consider the image $Y' = f(X')$ as a closed subvariety of Y . Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote an ample line bundle on Y and assume*

- (1) $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$.
- (2) $H^i(X, f^*\mathcal{L}^n) = H^i(X', f^*\mathcal{L}^n) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $n \gg 0$.
- (3) The restriction map $H^0(X, f^*\mathcal{L}^n) \rightarrow H^0(X', f^*\mathcal{L}^n) = 0$ is surjective for $n \gg 0$.

Then the induced map $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$ is a rational morphism, i.e. $f'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'} = \mathcal{O}_{Y'}$ and $R^if'_*\mathcal{O}_{X'} = 0$, $i > 0$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let X denote an irreducible G -variety and let Y denote a closed irreducible B -subvariety of X . Assume that X admits a (B, T) -canonical Frobenius splitting which compatibly splits Y . Let $P_1, \dots, P_n$ denote a collection of minimal parabolic subgroups of G . Then the natural map*

$$f : Z = P_1 \times_B \cdots \times_B P_n \times_B Y \rightarrow (P_1 \cdots P_n) \cdot Y \subset X,$$

is a rational morphism, i.e. $R^if_\mathcal{O}_Z = 0$, $i > 0$, $f_*\mathcal{O}_Z = \mathcal{O}_{f(Z)}$.*

Proof. Define $Z_X = P_1 \times_B \cdots \times_B P_n \times_B X$. As X is a G -variety we may identify Z_X with the product $Z(P_1, \dots, P_n) \times X$, where $Z(P_1, \dots, P_n)$ denotes the Bott-Samelson variety $P_1 \times_B \cdots \times_B P_n/B$. We define $g : Z_X \rightarrow X$ to be the associated projection map. As $Z(P_1, \dots, P_n)$ is an irreducible projective variety we have $g_*\mathcal{O}_{Z_X} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

Let $Z_{X,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, denote the Cartier divisor

$$Z_{X,i} = P_1 \times_B \cdots \times_B B \times_B \cdots \times_B P_n \times_B X,$$

in Z_X , where P_i in the definition of Z_X is substituted by B . Then, by Proposition 3.1, the variety Z_X admits a Frobenius splitting s which compatibly splits the subvariety Z and the divisors $Z_{X,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus by [L-P-T, Lem.1.1] the Frobenius splitting $s : F_*\mathcal{O}_{Z_X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z_X}$ maps through the morphism

$$F_*\mathcal{O}_{Z_X} \rightarrow F_*\left(\mathcal{O}_{Z_X}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Z_{X,i}\right)\right)$$

defined by the product of the canonical sections of the Cartier divisors $Z_{X,i}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Thus we may regard s as a stable Frobenius splitting of X along $\sum_{i=1}^n Z_{X,i}$ which compatibly splits Z . By [T, Lem.4.3, Lem.4.4] we conclude that Z_X admits a stable Frobenius splitting along any divisor of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n n_i Z_{X,i},$$

with n_i being positive integers, which compatibly Frobenius splits Z .

Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote any ample line bundle on X . Choose n_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that the line bundle

$$\mathcal{L}'_m = g^*\mathcal{L}^{p^m} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Z_X}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n n_i Z_{X,i}\right)$$

is ample on Z_X for all $m > 0$ (that this is possible follows e.g. from [L-T, Lem.6.1]). By [T, Lem.4.8] there exists, for some m , an embedding of abelian groups

$$H^j(Z_X, \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes g^* \mathcal{L}) \subseteq H^j(Z_X, \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes \mathcal{L}'_m),$$

for all j . So by [B-K, Thm.1.2.8] and the ampleness of $\mathcal{L}'_m$ it follows that $H^j(Z_X, \mathcal{I}_Z \otimes g^* \mathcal{L})$ is zero for $j > 0$. Similarly (with Z substituted with Z_X) we may conclude that $H^j(Z_X, g^* \mathcal{L})$ is zero for $j > 0$. Together these two latter statements imply that $H^j(Z, g^* \mathcal{L})$ is also zero for $j > 0$.

Applying Lemma 4.2 now ends the proof. $\square$

Combining the two propositions above with Corollary 3.3 we find

Theorem 4.4. *Let X denote an irreducible G -variety and let Y be a closed irreducible B -subvariety of X . Assume that X admits a (B, T) -canonical Frobenius splitting which compatibly splits Y . Let $y \in Y$ and $w \in W$ and assume that the triple (Y, y, w) satisfies the assumptions in Proposition 4.1. Then $(\overline{BwB})Y$ is globally F -regular.*

5. THE $G \times G$ -ORBIT CLOSURES IN TOROIDAL EMBEDDINGS

Consider G as a $G \times G$ -variety by left and right translation. An *equivariant G -embedding* (or simply a G -embedding) is a normal $G \times G$ -variety X containing an open subset which is $G \times G$ -equivariantly isomorphic to G .

5.1. Wonderful compactifications. When $G = G_{\text{ad}}$ is of adjoint type there exists a distinguished equivariant embedding $\mathbf{X}$ of G which is called the *wonderful compactification* (see e.g. [B-K, 6.1]).

The boundary $\mathbf{X} - G$ of $\mathbf{X}$ is a union of irreducible divisors $\mathbf{X}_i$, $i \in I$, which intersect transversally. For a subset $J \subset I$ we denote the intersection $\cap_{j \in J} \mathbf{X}_j$ by $\mathbf{X}_J$. Then $\mathbf{Y} := \mathbf{X}_I$ is the unique closed $G \times G$ -orbit in $\mathbf{X}$. As a $G \times G$ -variety $\mathbf{Y}$ is isomorphic to $G/B \times G/B$.

5.2. Toroidal embeddings. An embedding X of a reductive group G is called *toroidal* if the canonical map $\pi_{\text{ad}} : G \rightarrow G_{\text{ad}}$ admits an extension $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ into the wonderful compactification $\mathbf{X}$ of the group G_{ad} of adjoint type.

5.3. The $G \times G$ -orbits. For the rest of this section we assume that X is a toroidal embedding of G . The boundary $X - G$ is of pure codimension 1 (see [H, Prop.3.1]). Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ denote the boundary divisors. For each $G \times G$ -orbit closure Y in X we then associate the set

$$K_Y = \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid Y \subset X_i\},$$

where by definition $K_Y = \emptyset$ when $Y = X$. Then by [B-K, Prop.6.2.3], $Y = \cap_{i \in K_Y} X_i$. Moreover, we define

$$\mathcal{I} = \{K_Y \subset \{1, \dots, n\} \mid Y \text{ a } G \times G\text{-orbit closure in } X\},$$

and write $X_K := \cap_{i \in K} X_i$ for $K \in \mathcal{J}$. Then $(X_K)_{K \in \mathcal{J}}$ are the closures of $G \times G$ -orbits in X . When X is the wonderful compactification of G_{ad} then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{P}(I)$, where $\mathcal{P}(I)$ denotes the set of subsets of I . Moreover, the $G \times G$ -equivariant map $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ induces a map $p : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(I)$ such that $\pi(X_K) = \mathbf{X}_{p(K)}$.

5.4. The base points. Let X' denote the closure of T within X and let similarly $\mathbf{X}'$ denote the closure of $T_{\text{ad}} = \pi_{\text{ad}}(T)$ within $\mathbf{X}$. Let X_0 denote the complement of the union of the closures $\overline{B\dot{s}_i B^-}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, within X . Then X_0 is an open $B \times B^-$ -stable subset of X . Moreover, if we let X'_0 denote the intersection of X' and X_0 then the map

$$U \times U^- \times X'_0 \rightarrow X_0,$$

$$(u, v, x) \mapsto (u, v)z.$$

is an isomorphism (see [B-K, Prop.6.2.3(i)]). With similar definitions for $\mathbf{X}$ we also obtain an isomorphism

$$U \times U^- \times \mathbf{X}'_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}_0.$$

The above defined subsets are related in the way that $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'_0) = X'_0$ and consequently also $\pi^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_0) = X_0$.

The set $\mathbf{X}'_0$ is a toric variety (with respect to T_{ad}). In particular, it contains finitely many $T \times T$ -orbits. The $T \times T$ -orbits are classified by the set $\mathcal{P}(I)$ of subsets of I . We may choose representatives $\mathbf{h}_J$, $J \subset I$, for these orbits such that $\mathbf{h}_J$ is invariant under the groups $U_{I-J}^- \times U_{I-J}$, $\text{diag}(L_{I-J})$ and $Z(L_{I-J}) \times Z(L_{I-J})$ (see e.g. [Sp, 1.1]). Such a representative $\mathbf{h}_J$ is then uniquely determined.

Each $G \times G$ -orbit in $\mathbf{X}$ intersects $\mathbf{X}'_0$ in a unique $T \times T$ -orbit (see [B-K, Prop.6.2.3(ii)]). In particular, the elements $\mathbf{h}_J$ are also representatives for the $G \times G$ -orbits in $\mathbf{X}$. Moreover, $(G \times G) \cdot \mathbf{h}_J$ is the open dense $G \times G$ -orbit in $\mathbf{X}_J$.

Now for the toroidal embedding X and $K \in \mathcal{J}$, we may pick a point h_K in the open $G \times G$ -orbit of X_K which maps to $\mathbf{h}_{p(K)}$. Then $(h_K)_{K \in \mathcal{J}}$ is a set of representatives of the $G \times G$ -orbits in X . Notice that $h_K \in \pi_1(\mathbf{X}'_0) \subset X'_0$.

5.5. The structure of $G \times G$ -orbit closures. The following result should be well known but, as we have not been able to find a reference to it, we include a proof.

Lemma 5.1. *Let H denote a linear algebraic over the field k and let Y denote a homogeneous H -variety. Let $y \in Y$ and let $p_y : H \rightarrow Y$ denote the associated orbit map. Let H_y denote the stabilizer group scheme of y . Then $Y \simeq H/H_y$ as homogeneous H -varieties. Moreover, if H_y is a normal subgroup scheme of H then Y may be given a structure of a linear algebraic group such that p_y is a morphism of algebraic groups.*

Proof. By [D-G, Prop.III.3.5.2.] it follows that we may identify H/H_y with a locally closed subscheme Y' of Y . As Y is a homogeneous H -variety we conclude that $Y = Y'$. In particular, H/H_y is a variety.

Consider now the case when H_y is a normal subgroup scheme of H . Then by [D-G, Prop.III.3.5.6] the quotient H/H_y is an affine group scheme. Thus the isomorphism $Y \simeq H/H_y$ induces a desired algebraic group structure on Y . $\square$

Proposition 5.2. *Let X be a toroidal embedding. Let $K \in \mathcal{J}$, $J = p(K)$ and $h = h_K$. Then*

- (1) *h is invariant under the groups $U_{I-J}^- \times U_{I-J}$ and $\text{diag}(L_{I-J})$.*
- (2) *We simply write $(L_{I-J} \times \{1\}) \cdot h$ as $L_{I-J} \cdot h$. The closure $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h}$ in X is $L_{I-J} \times L_{I-J}$ -equivariantly isomorphic to a toroidal equivariant embedding of a quotient L_{I-J}/H_{I-J} of L_{I-J} by some (not necessarily reduced) subgroup H_{I-J} of the (scheme theoretical) center of L_{I-J} .*
- (3) *The natural morphism*

$$\phi_K : (G \times G) \times_{P_{I-J}^- \times P_{I-J}} \overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h} \rightarrow X_K,$$

is a birational and bijective $G \times G$ -equivariant morphism. Moreover, when the characteristic of k is positive then ϕ_K is an isomorphism.

- (4) *For $v \in W^{I-J}$ and $w \in W$ define $[K, v, w] := (Bv, Bw) \cdot h$. Then*

$$(G \times G) \cdot h = \bigsqcup_{v \in W^{I-J}, w \in W} [K, v, w].$$

Proof. The statements holds if $X = \mathbf{X}$ (see [Sp, 1.1]).

Now let $V = \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{h}_J) \subset \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{X}'_0) = X'_0$. Let $U_1 \simeq \mathbb{G}_a$ be a 1-dimensional additive subgroup in $G \times G$ normalized by $T \times T$ which acts trivially on $\mathbf{h}_J$. Then $U_1 \cdot h \subset V \subset X'_0$. By [B-K, Prop.6.2.3(ii)] the $G \times G$ -orbit of h intersects X'_0 in a single $T \times T$ -orbit. Hence, $U_1 \cdot h \subset (T \times T) \cdot h$ and thus U_1 leaves $(T \times T) \cdot h$ invariant. But $(T \times T) \cdot h \simeq (k^*)^n$, for some n , and any action of $\mathbb{G}_a$ on $(k^*)^n$ is trivial. In particular, U_1 leaves h invariant. This proves that h is invariant under $U_{I-J}^- \times U_{I-J}$ and the semisimple part of $\text{diag}(L_J)$. Now (1) follows as any element in the toric variety X' is invariant under $\text{diag}(T)$.

We identify X_0 with $U \times U^- \times X'_0$ and simply write $(T \times \{1\}) \cdot h$ as $T \cdot h$. Then $(U \cap L_{I-J}) \times (U^- \cap L_{I-J}) \times (\overline{T \cdot h} \cap X'_0)$ is a closed irreducible subset of X_0 contained in $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h}$ and of the same dimension as $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h}$. Hence $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h} \cap X_0 \simeq (U \cap L_{I-J}) \times (U^- \cap L_{I-J}) \times (\overline{T \cdot h} \cap X'_0)$. As $X' = \overline{T}$ is a toric variety every T -orbit closure in X' is normal. Hence $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h} \cap X_0$ is normal. As a consequence, every intersection of the form $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h} \cap xX_0$, for $x \in L_{I-J}$, is also normal.

We claim that the union $\cup_{x \in L_{I-J}} xX_0$ contains $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h}$. To see this it suffices to prove that the union $\cup_{x \in L_{I-J}} x\mathbf{X}_0$ contains the wonderful

compactification $\overline{G_{I-J}} = \overline{L_{I-J} \cdot \mathbf{h}_J}$ (see [Sp, 1.1] for this equality) of G_{I-J} . But $\mathbf{X}_0$ contains (by definition) the corresponding open subset $(\overline{G_{I-J}})_0$ of $\overline{G_{I-J}}$ and, moreover, $\overline{G_{I-J}}$ is covered by the L_{I-J} -translates of the subset $(\overline{G_{I-J}})_0$. This proves the claim and as a consequence $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h}$ is normal.

As $\pi(h) = \mathbf{h}_J$ it follows that the scheme theoretic L_{I-J} -stabilizer $(L_{I-J})_h$ of h is a closed subgroup scheme of the L_{I-J} -stabilizer of $\mathbf{h}_J$. The latter stabilizer coincides with the scheme theoretic center of L_{I-J} . So applying Lemma 5.1 we conclude that $L_{I-J} \cdot h$ is isomorphic to the reductive group $L_{I-J}/(L_{I-J})_h$. As a consequence, $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h}$ is an equivariant embedding of $L_{I-J}/(L_{I-J})_h$. Moreover the map π induces a morphism $\pi : \overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h} \rightarrow \overline{L_{I-J} \cdot \mathbf{h}_J} \simeq \overline{G_{I-J}}$, so $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h}$ is even a toroidal embedding of $L_{I-J}/(L_{I-J})_h$. This proves statement (2).

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G \times G) \times_{P_{I-J}^- \times P_{I-J}} \overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h} & \xrightarrow{\phi_K} & X_K, \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (G \times G) \times_{P_{I-J}^- \times P_{I-J}} \overline{L_{I-J} \cdot \mathbf{h}_J} & \xrightarrow{\phi_J} & \mathbf{X}_J \end{array}$$

where all the maps are the natural ones. As ϕ_J is an isomorphism it follows that ϕ_K is injective. As ϕ_K is a projective morphism this implies that ϕ_K is finite. Moreover, as $\overline{L_{I-J} \cdot h}$ is closed in X_K and invariant under $P_{I-J}^- \times P_{I-J}$ the image of ϕ_K is closed. Therefore ϕ_K is surjective and hence bijective. Moreover, due to the identification $\overline{L_{I-J}h} \cap X_0 \simeq (U \cap L_{I-J}) \times (U^- \cap L_{I-J}) \times (\overline{T \cdot h} \cap X'_0)$ it follows that ϕ_K is birational. This proves the first part of statement (3). When the characteristic is positive then X_K is Frobenius split (see e.g. [B-K, Thm.6.2.7]) and thus weakly normal (see e.g. [B-K, Thm.1.2.5]). It follows that ϕ_K is an isomorphism which ends the proof of statement (3).

By statement (1) and the Bruhat decomposition it easily follows that the union of $[K, v, w]$, for $v \in W^{I-J}, w \in W$, equals $(G \times G) \cdot h$. Moreover, when $X = \mathbf{X}$ then by [Sp, Lemma 1.3(i)] this union is disjoint (notice that our notation is slightly different from the notation used in [Sp] : the subset $[J, v, w]$ in [Sp] corresponds to $[I - J, x, w]$ in the present paper). As $\pi([K, v, w])$ equals the associated $B \times B$ -orbit $[J, v, w]$ in $\mathbf{X}$ this proves statement (4) in general. $\square$

Remark 5.3. *Statement (3) in Proposition 5.2 above is also correct in characteristic 0. This follows from Theorem 9.1 which proves that X_K is normal and thus, by Zariski's main theorem, that ϕ_K is an isomorphism. A result similar to (3) for some special (i.e. regular) embeddings has earlier been obtained in [B, Sect.2.1].*

6. $B \times B$ -ORBIT CLOSURES

In this section we will study inclusions between $B \times B$ -orbit closures in a toroidal embedding X of G . We will state a precise description of when a $B \times B$ -orbit $[K, v, w]$ is contained in the closure of another $B \times B$ -orbit $[K', v', w']$. This generalizes the corresponding results of T. Springer for $X = \mathbf{X}$ given in [Sp, Sect.2]. As a consequence we will be able to prove that any $B \times B$ -orbit closure Z in X of codimension ≥ 2 is a component of an intersection of $B \times B$ -orbit closures distinct from Z . By standard Frobenius splitting techniques this will enable us to prove that each $B \times B$ -orbit closure admits a canonical Frobenius splitting.

6.1. Inclusions between $B \times B$ -orbit closures. Let $K \in \mathcal{J}$ and $J = p(K)$. Let $B_J = B \cap L_{I-J}$ and $B' = (w_0^{I-J} w_0) B (w_0^{I-J} w_0)^{-1}$. Define $\pi_J : B \rightarrow B_J$ by $\pi_J(bu) = b$, for $b \in B_J$ and $u \in U_{I-J}$, and $\pi'_J : B' \rightarrow B_J$ by $\pi'_J(bu) = b$, for $b \in B_J$ and $u \in U_{I-J}^-$. By Proposition 5.2(1) the base point h_K is invariant under $\text{diag}(B_J)$. In particular, we may define a $B' \times B$ action on $G \times G \times \overline{B_J \cdot h_K}$ by

$$(b_1, b_2)(g_1, g_2, z) = (g_1 b_1, g_2 b_2, (\pi'_J(b_1), \pi_J(b_2))z),$$

for $b_1 \in B', b_2 \in B, g_1, g_2 \in G$ and $z \in \overline{B_J \cdot h_K}$. The associated quotient is denoted by $(G \times G) \times_{B' \times B} \overline{B_J \cdot h_K}$. The map $G \times G \times \overline{B_J \cdot h_K} \rightarrow X$, $(g_1, g_2, z) \mapsto (g_1, g_2)z$, induces a projective surjective morphism

$$p_K : (G \times G) \times_{B' \times B} \overline{B_J \cdot h_K} \rightarrow X_K.$$

which can be used to prove

Lemma 6.1. *Let $v, v', w, w' \in W$. Assume that $vw_0^{I-J} \leq v'w_0^{I-J}$ and $w' \leq w$ in the Bruhat order on W . Then*

$$(B\dot{v}', B\dot{w}') \cdot (B_J \cdot h_K) \subset \overline{(B\dot{v}, B\dot{w}) \cdot (B_J \cdot h_K)}.$$

Proof. By restricting the map p_K above we obtain a projective and surjective map

$$(\overline{B\dot{v}B'} \times \overline{B\dot{w}B}) \times_{B' \times B} \overline{B_J \cdot h_K} \rightarrow \overline{(B\dot{v}, B\dot{w}) \cdot (B_J \cdot h_K)}.$$

For the above statement to be true it thus suffices to have $Bv'B' \subset \overline{BvB'}$ and $Bw'B \subset \overline{BwB}$, which is clearly satisfied under the stated conditions. $\square$

Notice that when $v \in W^{I-J}$ then the set $(B\dot{v}, B\dot{w}) \cdot (B_J \cdot h_K)$, in Lemma 6.1, coincides with the orbit $[K, v, w]$.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $K, K' \in \mathcal{J}$, $v \in W^{I-p(K)}$, $v' \in W^{I-p(K')}$ and $w, w' \in W$. Then $[K', v', w']$ is contained in $\overline{[K, v, w]}$ if and only if $K \subset K'$ and there exists $u \in W_{I-p(K')}$ and $u' \in W_{I-p(K)} \cap W^{I-p(K')}$ such that $vu'u_1 \leq v'$, $w'u \leq wu'$.*

Proof. Notice $\overline{[K, v, w]} \subset \pi_1(\pi(\overline{[K, v, w]})) \cap X_K$. Thus if $[K', v', w'] \subset \overline{[K, v, w]}$, then $K \subset K'$ and $[p(K'), v', w'] \subset \overline{[p(K), v, w]}$. By [Sp, 2.4], there exists $u \in W_{I-p(K)}$ and $u' \in W_{I-p(K)} \cap W^{I-p(K')}$ such that $vu'u_1 \leq v', w'u \leq wu'$.

On the other hand, assume that $v' \in W^{I-p(K')}$, $w' \in W$, $u \in W_{I-p(K)}$ and $u' \in W_{I-p(K)} \cap W^{I-p(K')}$ such that $vu'u_1 \leq v', w'u \leq wu'$. Assume, moreover, that $K \subset K'$. By the one to one correspondence between the set of $G \times G$ -orbits in X and the set of T -orbits in X'_0 [B-K, Prop.6.2.3(ii)], it follows that $h_{K'} \in \overline{T \cdot h_K}$. Thus $(\dot{x}, \dot{x})h_{K'} \in \overline{T \cdot h_K}$ for all $x \in W_{I-p(K)}$ by Proposition 5.2(i). Therefore, with $J' = p(K')$, we find by use of Lemma 6.1,

$$[K', v', w'] = (B\dot{v}'\dot{u}, B\dot{w}'\dot{u}) \cdot h_{K'} \subset \overline{(B\dot{v}\dot{u}, B\dot{w}\dot{u}) \cdot (B_{J'} \cdot h_{K'})}.$$

As $u' \in W^{I-J'}$ we have $u'B_{J'} \subset Bu'$. Thus the right hand side of the above inclusion is contained in

$$\overline{(B\dot{v}B, B\dot{w}) \cdot ((u', u')h_{K'})} \subset \overline{(B\dot{v}, B\dot{w}) \cdot (B_J \cdot h_K)} = \overline{[K, v, w]},$$

which ends the proof. $\square$

We may reformulate the above proposition to a slightly simpler version.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $K, K' \in \mathcal{J}$, $v \in W^{I-p(K)}$, $v' \in W^{I-p(K')}$ and $w, w' \in W$. Then $[K', v', w'] \subset \overline{[K, v, w]}$ if and only if $K' \supset K$ and there exists $u \in W_{I-p(K)}$ such that $vu \leq v', w' \leq wu$.*

Proof. If $[K', v', w'] \subset \overline{[K, v, w]}$, then in $\mathbf{X}$ we have

$$[I, v', w'] \subset \overline{[p(K'), v', w']} \subset \overline{[p(K), v, w]}.$$

By Proposition 6.2 there exists $u \in W_{I-p(K)}$ such that $vu \leq v', w' \leq wu$. On the other hand, assume that $K' \supset K$ and there exists $u \in W_{I-p(K)}$ such that $vu \leq v', w' \leq wu$. Write u as $u = u_1u_2$ for $u_1 \in W_{I-p(K)} \cap W^{I-p(K')}$ and $u_2 \in W_{I-p(K')}$. By [He, Cor.3.4], there exists $u'_2 \leq u_2$ such that $w'(u'_2)_1 \leq wu_1$. Moreover, $vu_1u'_2 \leq vu_1u_2 \leq v'$. Hence by Proposition 6.2, $[K', v', w'] \subset \overline{[K, v, w]}$ and the proposition is proved. $\square$

For later reference we state the following easy consequences of the above propositions.

Corollary 6.4. *Let $K, K' \in \mathcal{J}$, $v \in W^{I-p(K)}$, $v' \in W^{I-p(K')}$ and $w, w' \in W$.*

- (1) *If $\overline{[K', v', w']} \subset \overline{[K, v, w]}$ then $v \leq v'$.*
- (2) *$\overline{[K, v, w']} \subset \overline{[K', v, w]}$ if and only if $w' \leq w$ and $K' \subset K$.*

6.2. Intersection of $B \times B$ -orbit closures. In this section we will prove.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $Z \neq X$ denote a $B \times B$ -orbit closure in X . If Z has codimension 1 in X the Z is either a boundary divisor X_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, of X or else Z coincides with the closure of a codimension 1 Bruhat cell $B\dot{s}_i\dot{w}_0B$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, within X . If the codimension of Z is ≥ 2 then there exist $B \times B$ -orbit closures $Z_1 \neq Z$ and $Z_2 \neq Z$ in X such that Z is a component of the intersection $Z_1 \cap Z_2$.*

The proof of Proposition 6.5 will depend on the following 4 lemmas.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $w \in W$ be an element of length $l(w) < l(w_0) - 1$. Then there exist elements w' and w'' distinct from w such that $[\emptyset, 1, w]$ is an irreducible component of $[\emptyset, 1, w'] \cap [\emptyset, 1, w'']$.*

Proof. Choose simple reflections s_i and s_j such that $l(ws_i) = l(s_jw) = l(w) + 1$. If ws_i and s_jw are distinct then the statement follows by setting $w' = ws_i$ and $w'' = s_jw$. If $ws_i = s_jw$, then we choose a simple reflection s_k such that $l(ws_is_k) = l(ws_i) + 1 = l(w) + 2$. Then $k \neq i$. As $ws_is_k = s_js_kw$, we conclude that $l(ws_k) = l(w) + 1$. The statement follows by setting $w' = ws_i$ and $w'' = ws_k$. $\square$

Lemma 6.7. *For $K \in \mathcal{J}$ and $w \in W$, $[K, 1, w]$ is an irreducible component of $[\emptyset, 1, w] \cap [K, 1, w_0]$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.3, $[K, 1, w] \subset [\emptyset, 1, w] \cap [K, 1, w_0]$. As X is a finite union of $B \times B$ -orbits each irreducible component of the intersection $[\emptyset, 1, w] \cap [K, 1, w]$ will be the closure of a $B \times B$ -orbit in X . Assume that $K' \in \mathcal{J}$, $v \in W^{I-p(K')}$ and $w' \in W$ satisfy

$$[K, 1, w] \subset [K', v, w'] \subset [\emptyset, 1, w] \cap [K, 1, w_0].$$

Then by Corollary 6.4(1) we have $v = 1$. Moreover, Proposition 6.3 implies that $K' = K$. Then Corollary 6.4(2) shows that $w' = w$, which ends the proof. $\square$

Lemma 6.8. *Let $v, v' \in W^{I-p(K)}$ with $v = s_iv'$ for some $i \in I$ and $l(v) = l(v') + 1$. Then $[K, v, w_0]$ is an irreducible component of $[K, v', w_0] \cap [\emptyset, 1, w_0v_1]$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.3 we easily conclude $[K, v, w_0] \subset [\emptyset, 1, w_0v_1]$ and $[K, v, w_0] \subset [K, v', w_0]$. Assume that $w \in W^{I-p(K)}$ and $w' \in W$ satisfy

$$[K, v, w_0] \subset [K, w, w'] \subset [K, v', w_0] \cap [\emptyset, 1, w_0v_1].$$

Then by Corollary 6.4 (i), $v' \leq w \leq v$. So $w = v'$ or $w = v$. Moreover, by Proposition 6.3 there exists $u \in W_{I-p(K)}$ such that $wu \leq v$ and $w_0 \leq w'u$. As $v \in W^{I-p(K)}$ we conclude that $u = 1$ and $w' = w_0$. Then, by Proposition 6.3, there exists $u' \in W$ such that $u' \leq w$ and

$w_0 \leq w_0 v u'$. Thus $u' = v$ and w must then be equal to v . The lemma is proved. $\square$

Lemma 6.9. *We keep the assumptions on v and v' from the previous Lemma 6.8. Then for $w \in W$, $\overline{[K, v, w]}$ is an irreducible component of $\overline{[K, v, w_0]} \cap \overline{[K, v', w]}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.3 we have $\overline{[K, v, w]} \subset \overline{[K, v, w_0]} \cap \overline{[K, v', w]}$. Assume that $u \in W^{I-p(K)}$ and $w' \in W$ satisfy $\overline{[K, v, w]} \subset \overline{[K, u, w']} \subset \overline{[K, v, w_0]} \cap \overline{[K, v', w]}$. Then, by Corollary 6.4(i), $u = v$ and hence by Corollary 6.4(ii) we have $w \leq w'$. Moreover, by Proposition 6.3 there exists $u' \in W_{I-p(K)}$ such that $v' u' \leq v$ and $w' \leq w u'$. We conclude that $u = 1$ and as a consequence that $w' = w$. $\square$

We can now prove Proposition 6.5.

Proof. Let $K \in \mathcal{J}$, $v \in W^{I-p(K)}$ and $w \in W$ such that $Z = \overline{[K, v, w]}$. Notice that by Proposition 6.3 the closure $\overline{[\emptyset, 1, w_0]}$ contains all $B \times B$ -orbit closures and hence it will be equal to X .

We first consider the situation when $w \neq w_0$: if there exists a simple reflection s_i such that $l(s_i v) = l(v) - 1$ then by Lemma 6.9 we may use $Z_1 = \overline{[K, v, w_0]}$ and $Z_2 = \overline{[K, s_i v, w]}$ (notice that this makes sense as $s_i v \in W^{I-p(K)}$). So we may assume that $v = 1$. If now $K \neq \emptyset$ then by Lemma 6.7 we may use $Z_1 = \overline{[\emptyset, 1, w]}$ and $Z_2 = \overline{[K, 1, w_0]}$. So we may assume that $Z = \overline{[\emptyset, 1, w]}$. If $l(w) < l(w_0) - 1$ then we may apply Lemma 6.6 to define Z_1 and Z_2 . This leaves us with the cases $\overline{[\emptyset, 1, s_i w_0]}$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, which are equal to the closures of the Bruhat cells $B s_i w_0 B \subseteq G$ within X .

Next assume that $w = w_0$: if there exists a simple reflection s_i such that $l(s_i v) = l(v) - 1$ then by Lemma 6.8 we may use $Z_1 = \overline{[K, s_i v, w_0]}$ and $Z_2 = \overline{[\emptyset, 1, w_0 v]}$. So we may assume that $v = 1$. As $Z \neq X$ we have that $Z = \overline{[K, 1, w_0]}$ with K a nonempty set. In particular, by Proposition 6.3, Z coincides with the $G \times G$ -orbit closure X_K . Now let $K' \subset K$ be a minimal subset such that X_K is an irreducible component of $\cap_{i \in K'} X_i$. If $|K'| = 1$ then Z coincides with a boundary divisor, so we may assume that $|K'| > 1$. Let $j \in K'$ and let $Y_1, \dots, Y_s$ denote the irreducible components of the intersection $\cap_{i \in K' - \{j\}} X_i$. Then, by minimality of K' , each Y_i , for $i = 1, \dots, s$, is a $G \times G$ -orbit closure distinct from Z . Moreover, there exists an i such that Z is an irreducible component of the intersection $Y_i \cap X_j$. Now use $Z_1 = Y_i$ and $Z_2 = X_j$. $\square$

7. FROBENIUS SPLITTING OF $B \times B$ -ORBIT CLOSURES

Let X denote an equivariant embedding of the reductive group G over a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$. As above the boundary divisors of X will be denoted by $X_1, \dots, X_n$. Moreover, we will use

the notation D_i , $i = 1, \dots, l$, to denote the closures of the Bruhat cells $B\dot{s}_i\dot{w}_0B$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, within X .

Proposition 7.1. *The equivariant embedding X admits a $(B \times B, T \times T)$ -canonical Frobenius splitting which compatibly splits the closure of all $B \times B$ -orbits.*

Proof. First of all X admits a $(B \times B, T \times T)$ -canonical Frobenius splitting s which compatibly splits all boundary component X_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$, and the subvarieties D_i , $i = 1, \dots, l$ (see [B-K, Thm.6.2.7]).

Consider, for a moment, the case when X is toroidal. We claim that s compatibly Frobenius splits all $B \times B$ -orbit closures. If this is not the case, then there exists a $B \times B$ -orbit closure Z of maximal dimension which is not compatibly Frobenius split by s . By Proposition 6.5 the codimension of Z must be ≥ 2 . In particular, we can find orbit closures $Z_1 \neq Z$ and $Z_2 \neq Z$ such that Z is a component of the intersection $Z_1 \cap Z_2$. By the maximality assumption on Z the orbit closures Z_1 and Z_2 will be compatibly Frobenius split by s . But then every component of $Z_1 \cap Z_2$, and thus Z , will also be compatibly Frobenius split by s , which is a contradiction. This ends the proof when X is toroidal.

For an arbitrary embedding X we may find a toroidal embedding X' of G and a birational projective morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ extending the identity map on G (see e.g. [B-K, Prop.6.2.5]). Now X' admits a $(B \times B, T \times T)$ -canonical Frobenius splitting s' which compatibly Frobenius splits all $B \times B$ -orbit closures. By Zariski's main theorem the map $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ induced by f is an isomorphism. In particular, s' induces by push forward a $(B \times B, T \times T)$ -canonical Frobenius splitting s of X . Moreover, the image in X of every $B \times B$ -orbit closure in X' will be compatibly Frobenius split by s . But any $B \times B$ -orbit closure in X is the image of a similar orbit closure in X' . This ends the proof. $\square$

7.1. Cohomology vanishing. As a direct consequence of Proposition 7.1 we conclude the following vanishing result (see e.g. [B-K, Thm.1.2.8]).

Proposition 7.2. *Let X be a projective equivariant embedding of G . Let Z denote a $B \times B$ -orbit closures in X and let $\mathcal{L}$ denote an ample line bundle on Z . Then*

$$H^i(Z, \mathcal{L}) = 0, \quad i > 0.$$

Moreover, if $Z' \subset Z$ is another $B \times B$ -orbit closure then the restriction map

$$H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(Z', \mathcal{L}_{Z'}),$$

is surjective.

Later (Corollary 8.5) we will see that the vanishing part of Proposition 7.2 remains true when the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ is only assumed to

be nef, i.e. when $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is an ample line bundle for every ample line bundle $\mathcal{M}$.

8. GLOBAL F -REGULARITY OF $B \times B$ -ORBIT CLOSURES

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 8.1. *Let X denote a projective equivariant embedding of a reductive group G over a field of positive characteristic $p > 0$. Let Z denote a $B \times B$ -orbit closure in X . Then Z is globally F -regular.*

We will divide the proof of Theorem 8.1 into 2 parts. The first part concerns the case when X is toroidal.

Lemma 8.2. *Let X be a projective toroidal embedding. Then any $B \times B$ -orbit closure $\overline{[K, v, w]}$ in X is globally F -regular.*

Proof. Keep the notation of Section 6.1. As a consequence of Proposition 7.1, X admits a $(B' \times B, T \times T)$ -canonical Frobenius splitting s which compatibly Frobenius splits every $B' \times B$ -orbit closure.

Let $Y = \overline{(B' \times B)h_K}$ and $Y' = Y - (B' \times B)h_K$. Then s induces a $(B' \times B, T \times T)$ -canonical Frobenius splitting s_Y of Y which compatibly Frobenius splits Y' . Notice that by Proposition 5.2(1), $Y = \overline{B_J \cdot h_K}$. Thus by Proposition 5.2(2), Y is the closure of the Borel subgroup B_J of L_{I-J} within some equivariant embedding of L_{I-J} . Hence, Y is a large Schubert variety for some equivariant embedding of L_{I-J} and, as such, Y is globally F -regular [B-T, Thm.4.3]. Define $v' = w_0^{I-p(K)} w_0 v$. Then $(B' \times B)_{(v', w)}$ contains the group $B_J \times \{1\}$ (notice that the set of positive roots on the first coordinate is defined with respect to B') and thus by Proposition 5.2(1)

$$(B' \times B)h_K = (B_J \times \{1\})h_K = (B' \times B)_{(v', w)}h_K.$$

The above statements proves that the triple $(Y, h_K, (v', w))$ satisfies the requirements of Proposition 4.1. Now Theorem 4.4 shows that the closed subvariety

$$(\overline{B'v'B'}, \overline{BwB})\overline{B_J \cdot h_K} = (\dot{w}_0^{I-J} \dot{w}_0, 1)\overline{[K, v, w]},$$

is globally F -regular. Thus also $\overline{[K, v, w]}$ must be globally F -regular. $\square$

8.1. The general case. Let X denote an arbitrary equivariant projective embedding of G . To handle the proof of Theorem 8.1 for X we start by the following construction : Consider the natural $G \times G$ -equivariant embedding

$$f : G \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{X}.$$

and let Y denote the normalization of the closure of the image of f . Then Y is a projective equivariant toroidal embedding of G . We let $\phi :$

$Y \rightarrow X$ denote the associated $G \times G$ -equivariant projective morphism to X . Then

Lemma 8.3. *Let Z' denote the closure of a $B \times B$ -orbit within Y and let Z denote its image $\phi(Z')$ within X . Then the induced morphism $\phi' : Z' \rightarrow Z$ is a rational morphism.*

Proof. We will prove this using Lemma 4.2. Notice first of all that ϕ is birational and X is normal, so by Zariski's main theorem we have $\phi_* \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X$. Let now $\mathcal{L}$ denote a very ample line bundle on X . Then by Lemma 8.2 and [S2, Cor.4.3],

$$H^i(Y, \phi^* \mathcal{L}) = H^i(Z', \phi^* \mathcal{L}) = 0, \quad i > 0,$$

as $\phi^* \mathcal{L}$ is globally generated and thus nef.

Let $\tilde{D}_i, i = 1, \dots, l$, denote the closures $\overline{B^- \dot{s}_i \dot{w}_0 B^-}$ in $\mathbf{X}$. Then the divisor $\tilde{D} = \sum_{i=1}^l \tilde{D}_i$ is ample [B-K, Prop.6.1.11]. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}(\tilde{D})$ denote the associated line bundle and let $\mathcal{M}' = \phi^* \mathcal{M}$ be its pull back to Y . Let s denote the canonical section of $\mathcal{M}$ and let s' denote its pull back to Y . Let V denote an irreducible component of the support of s' . If V is contained in the boundary of Y then the support of s' will contain a closed $G \times G$ -orbit. In particular, also the support $\cup_i \tilde{D}_i$ of s will contain a closed $G \times G$ -orbit. As the latter is not the case we conclude that each component of the support of s' will intersect G . Moreover, the support of s' is $B^- \times B^-$ -stable. As a consequence, we conclude that the divisor of zeroes of s' equals

$$\sum_{i=1}^l n_i \tilde{D}'_i,$$

for some positive integers n_i and with $\tilde{D}'_i, i = 1, \dots, l$, denoting the closure $\overline{B^- \dot{s}_i \dot{w}_0 B^-}$ in Y .

Let $Y_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, denote the boundary components in Y and let $D'_i, i = 1, \dots, l$, denote the closures $\overline{B \dot{s}_i \dot{w}_0 B}$ in Y . Let Y^0 denote the smooth locus of Y . Then Y^0 admits a Frobenius splitting which compatibly Frobenius splits the Cartier divisors $Y^0 \cap Y_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $D'_i \cap Y^0$ and $\tilde{D}'_i \cap Y^0, i = 1, \dots, l$ [B-K, Thm.6.2.7]. As in the proof of Proposition 4.3 we conclude that Y^0 admits a stable Frobenius splitting along the effective divisor

$$\text{div}(s') \cap Y^0 = \sum_{i=1}^l n_i (\tilde{D}'_i \cap Y^0),$$

which compatibly Frobenius splits $D'_i \cap Y^0, i = 1, \dots, l$, and $Y_j \cap Y^0, j = 1, \dots, n$. Let ψ_0 denote such a stable Frobenius splitting; i.e. let e be an integer such that ψ_0 is a splitting of the morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{Y^0} \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{M}'_{|Y^0},$$

defined by the restriction of s' to Y^0 . Let now $i : Y^0 \rightarrow Y$ denote the inclusion morphism. Applying the functor i_* to the above split morphism and using that Y is normal, we find that the morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow F_*^e \mathcal{M}',$$

defined by s' has an induced splitting ψ . Then ψ defines a stable Frobenius splitting along $\text{div}(s')$ which compatibly Frobenius splits D'_i , $i = 1, \dots, l$, and Y_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ (as the compatibility can be checked on the open dense subsets Y^0).

We now claim that Z' is not contained in any $\tilde{D}'_i$. To see this assume that Z' is contained in $\tilde{D}'_i$ for some i . As Z' is $B \times B$ -invariant and as $\tilde{D}'_i$ is $B^- \times B^-$ -invariant it follows that $(B^- B, B^- B)Z'$ is contained in $\tilde{D}'_i$. But then also $(G, G)Z'$ must be contained in $\tilde{D}'_i$. We conclude that $\tilde{D}'_i$ contains a closed $G \times G$ -orbit which is a contradiction. Hence, Z' is not contained in the support of s' . As in the proof of Proposition 7.1 we may then use Proposition 6.5 to show that Z' is compatibly Frobenius split by the stable Frobenius splitting ψ . By [T, Lem.4.8] it follows that we have an embedding

$$H^1(Y, \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes \phi^* \mathcal{L}) \subset H^1(Y, \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes \phi^* \mathcal{L}^{p^e} \otimes \mathcal{M}')$$

of abelian groups, where $\mathcal{I}_{Z'}$ denotes the sheaf of ideals associated to Z' . But $\mathcal{L}^{p^e} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}$ is ample on $X \times \mathbf{X}$ and, as the map $Y \rightarrow X \times \mathbf{X}$ is finite, we conclude that $\phi^* \mathcal{L}^{p^e} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ is ample on Y . Applying [B-K, Thm.1.2.8] it follows that $H^1(Y, \mathcal{I}_{Z'} \otimes \phi^* \mathcal{L})$ is zero.

As all the requirement in Lemma 4.2 are now satisfied this ends the proof. $\square$

We may now prove Theorem 8.1

Proof. By Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 8.3 we may assume that X is toroidal. Now apply Lemma 8.2. $\square$

8.2. Applications. As the main application of Theorem 8.1 we find.

Corollary 8.4. *Let X denote an equivariant embedding of a reductive group G over a field of positive characteristic. Then every $B \times B$ -orbit closure in X is strongly F -regular. In particular, every $B \times B$ -orbit closure is normal, Cohen-Macaulay and locally F -rational.*

Proof. As in the proof of [B-T, Cor.4.2] we may reduce to the case when X is projective. Then by Theorem 8.1 every $B \times B$ -orbit closure is globally F -regular and thus strongly F -regular. This ends the proof. $\square$

We also obtain the following strengthening of Proposition 7.2.

Corollary 8.5. *Let X denote a projective equivariant embedding of a reductive group G over a field of positive characteristic. Let Z denote*

the closure of a $B \times B$ -orbit and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a nef line bundle on Z . Then the cohomology $H^i(Z, \mathcal{L})$ vanishes for $i > 0$.

Proof. Just apply [S2, Cor.4.3]. $\square$

9. THE CHARACTERISTIC 0 CASE

Let X denote a scheme of finite type over a field K of characteristic 0. Then there exists a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra A and a flat scheme X_A of finite type over A , such that the base change of X_A to K may be naturally identified with X . Moreover, when $m \subset A$ is a maximal ideal we may form the base change $X_{k(m)}$ of X_A to the finite field $k(m) = A/m$. We then say that the scheme X is of *strongly F -regular type* (resp. *F -rational type*) if $X_{k(m)}$ is strongly F -regular (resp. F -rational) for all maximal ideals m in a dense open subset of $\text{Spec}(A)$.

Any scheme X of strongly F -regular type will also be of F -rational type. Thus, by [S, Thm.4.3], schemes of strongly F -regular type will have rational singularities, in particular, they will be normal and Cohen-Macaulay.

In the proof of the next result we will use the following observation (see e.g. [H-H3, Thm.5.5(e)]: let $\bar{k}(m)$ denote an algebraic closure of the field $k(m)$. If the base change $X_{\bar{k}(m)}$ is strongly F -regular then also $X_{k(m)}$ is strongly F -regular.

We can now prove the characteristic 0 version of Corollary 8.4.

Theorem 9.1. *Let X denote an equivariant embedding of a reductive group G over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Then every $B \times B$ -orbit closure in X is of strongly F -regular type. In particular, every $B \times B$ -orbit closure in X has rational singularities.*

Proof. We may assume that there exists a split $\mathbb{Z}$ -form $G_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of G over which B is defined by a closed subscheme $B_{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let Z denote a $B \times B$ -orbit closure in X . The complete data consisting of the $G \times G$ -action on X , the open embedding $G \subset X$, the $B \times B$ -stability of Z , the closed embedding $Z \subset X$ and the irreducibility of X and Z may all be descended to some finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra A (see e.g. [H-H2, Sect.2] for this kind of technique). This means that there exists schemes $G_A := G_{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \text{Spec}(A)$, $B_A := B_{\mathbb{Z}} \times_{\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})} \text{Spec}(A)$, X_A and Z_A flat and of finite type over $\text{Spec}(A)$ satisfying, that for every maximal ideal $m \subseteq A$ the associated base changes $G_{\bar{k}(m)}$, $B_{\bar{k}(m)}$, $X_{\bar{k}(m)}$ and $Z_{\bar{k}(m)}$, to an algebraic closure $\bar{k}(m)$ of the field $k(m) = A/m$, share the same structure; i.e. $G_{\bar{k}(m)}$ is a reductive linear algebraic group, $X_{\bar{k}(m)}$ is an irreducible $G_{\bar{k}(m)} \times G_{\bar{k}(m)}$ -variety containing $G_{\bar{k}(m)}$ as an open subset and $Z_{\bar{k}(m)}$ is an irreducible $B_{\bar{k}(m)} \times B_{\bar{k}(m)}$ -stable subvariety of $X_{\bar{k}(m)}$. As X is normal we may even assume that $X_{\bar{k}(m)}$ is normal (see [H-H2, Thm.2.3.17]). In particular, $X_{\bar{k}(m)}$ is then an equivariant embedding of the reductive group $G_{\bar{k}(m)}$. Moreover, by the finiteness of the number

of $B_{\bar{k}(m)} \times B_{\bar{k}(m)}$ -orbits in $X_{\bar{k}(m)}$ we conclude that $Z_{\bar{k}(m)}$ is the closure of such an orbit.

Applying Corollary 8.4 and the observation above, we conclude that Z is of strongly F -regular type and thus also of F -rational type. Finally, as mentioned above, the latter statement implies that Z has rational singularities. $\square$

We may now generalize Corollary 8.5 to arbitrary characteristics.

Corollary 9.2. *Let X denote a projective equivariant embedding of a reductive group G over a field of arbitrary characteristic. Let Z denote the closure of a $B \times B$ -orbit and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a nef line bundle on Z . Then the cohomology $H^i(Z, \mathcal{L})$ vanishes for $i > 0$.*

Proof. Apply Corollary 8.5 and [S2, Cor.5.5]. $\square$

For a discussion of other kinds of vanishing results for varieties of globally F -regular type we refer to [S2, Sect.5].

REFERENCES

- [B-K] M. Brion and S. Kumar, *Frobenius Splittings Methods in Geometry and Representation Theory*, Progress in Mathematics (2004), Birkhäuser, Boston.
- [B] M. Brion, *The behaviour at infinity of the Bruhat decomposition*, Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), 137–174.
- [B2] M. Brion, *Multiplicity-free subvarieties of flag varieties*, in *Commutative Algebra*, Contemporary Math. **331** (2003) 13–23.
- [B-P] M. Brion and P. Polo, *Large Schubert Varieties*, Represent. Theory **4** (2000), 97–126.
- [B-T] M. Brion and J. F. Thomsen, *F-regularity of large Schubert varieties*, math.AG/0408180.
- [D-G] M. Demazure and P. Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques. Tome I: Géométrie algébrique, généralités, groupes commutatifs*, Masson & Cie, 1970.
- [H] R. Hartshorne, *Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties*, Lecture notes in math. **156** (1970), Springer-Verlag.
- [H-H] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Tight closure and strong F-regularity*, Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France **38** (1989), 119–133.
- [H-H2] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *F-regularity, test elements, and smooth base change*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **346** (1994), 1–62.
- [H-H3] M. Hochster and C. Huneke, *Tight closure in equal characteristic zero*, preprint.
- [He] X. He, *The G-stable pieces of the wonderful compactification*, math.RT/0412302.
- [L-S] G. Lyubeznik and K. E. Smith, *Weak and strong F-regularity are equivalent in graded rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1999), 1279–1290.
- [L-T] N. Lauritzen and J. F. Thomsen, *Line bundles on Bott-Samelson varieties*, J. Alg. Geom. **13** (2004), 461–473.
- [L-P-T] N. Lauritzen, U. R. Pedersen and J. F. Thomsen, *Global F-regularity of Schubert varieties with applications to D-modules*, math.AG/0402052.
- [R] A. Ramanathan, *Equations defining Schubert varieties and Frobenius splitting of diagonals*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **65** (1987), 61–90.

- [S] K. E. Smith, *F-rational rings have rational singularities*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), 159–180.
- [S2] K. E. Smith, *Globally F-regular varieties: Applications to vanishing theorems for quotients of Fano varieties*, Michigan Math. J. **48** (2000), 553–572.
- [Sp] T. A. Springer, *Intersection cohomology of $B \times B$ -orbits closures in group compactifications*, J. Alg. **258** (2002), 71–111.
- [T] J.F. Thomsen, *Frobenius splitting of equivariant closures of regular conjugacy classes*, math.AG/0502114.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON,
NJ 08540, USA

E-mail address: hugo@math.ias.edu

INSTITUT FOR MATEMATISKE FAG, AARHUS UNIVERSITET, 8000 ÅRHUS C,
DENMARK

E-mail address: funch@imf.au.dk